

Two-dimensional Fermi gases near a p -wave resonance

Shao-Jian Jiang and Fei Zhou

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of British Columbia, Vancouver V6T 1Z1, Canada

(Dated:)

We study the stability of p -wave superfluidity for two-dimensional Fermi gases near a p -wave Feshbach resonance. A systematic analysis is carried out in the limit when the interchannel coupling is strong. We show that a homogeneous p -wave pairing is actually unstable due to quantum fluctuations, in contrast to the previously predicted $p + ip$ superfluid in the weak-coupling limit [V. Gurarie *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 230403 (2005)]. This indicates an onset of instability at certain intermediate interchannel coupling strength. Alternatively, the instability can also be driven by lowering the particle density.

Introduction. As the key to electronic superconductivity and fermionic superfluidity, pairing between fermions has been one of the main topics in condensed matter physics. It can be classified according to the orbital angular momentum of the pair wave function. Compared to s -wave pairing, pairing with finite angular momentum can host richer structures and plays an important role in novel superconductors and superfluids. An excellent example is the p -wave-superfluid ^3He which has gapless A phase and gapped B phase with different symmetry properties [1]. Later, a p -wave superconductor was also discovered [2]. Moreover, in two dimensions, p -wave pairing with finite angular momentum projection, i.e., the $p + ip$ pairing, was shown to be topologically nontrivial with excitations exhibiting non-Abelian statistics [3]. These have been proposed to be a key ingredient for topological quantum computation [4]. This has drawn increasing attention to pairing of fermions in the p -wave channel.

The ultracold Fermi gas is a promising platform to study p -wave pairing. Although interactions in the s -wave channel are usually dominant due to the centrifugal barrier in finite-angular-momentum channels, a Fermi gas interacting in the p -wave channel can be realized by preparing fermions in the same pseudo-spin state, where Pauli exclusion suppresses s -wave scattering. Furthermore, the p -wave interaction can be enhanced via resonance techniques, e.g., the Feshbach resonance [5]. In laboratories, p -wave resonances have been found for ^{40}K and ^6Li [6–8]. The manipulation of interactions in low dimensions has also been investigated [9–11]. These techniques offer amazing tunability of interaction parameters, and makes realizing p -wave superfluid in Fermi gases an appealing idea [12, 13]. It is thus necessary to understand p -wave Fermi gases from weak- to strong-interaction regime, which in turn can provide inspiring insight into p -wave pairing problems in other condensed matter systems. It has been shown that the p -wave superfluid at zero temperature behaves quite differently from the s -wave case [14–20]. Instead of a crossover, it undergoes a phase transition between different p -wave superfluid phases, when the attraction between fermions is adiabatically increased. The phase diagram can be even

richer when anisotropy in the p -wave channel is further considered. Other properties of a p -wave Fermi gas including the transition temperature and stability have also been studied [19–25]. Recently, several works have also studied this problem by introducing p -wave contacts [26–30]. However, in the intermediate-interaction regime near the threshold of a two-body bound state, i.e., resonance, where the length scale associated with the interaction diverges, systematic understanding is still challenging.

Compared to the s -wave case, the interchannel coupling in the p -wave channel is generally smaller due to the centrifugal barrier; a p -wave Feshbach resonance is thus usually narrow [31–33]. For this reason previous theoretical studies have mainly been focusing on this weak-coupling limit. In this limit, the fluctuation correction is perturbative in terms of the interchannel coupling even near resonance. A controllable analysis is thus possible with the mean field capturing the leading order contribution, which leads to a gapped p -wave superfluid in two dimensions [20].

Recently, several broad p -wave Feshbach resonances have been observed [34]. This opens up new possibilities of experimentally exploring p -wave Feshbach resonances in the strong-coupling regime. Theoretically, however, a systematic understanding of interacting fermions near a strong-coupling p -wave resonance is still lacking due to the break down of the perturbative approach when the interchannel coupling is strong. Moreover, a series of cluster states called super-Efimov states have been proposed by Nishida *et al.* [35] as a possible candidate for two-dimensional (2D) p -wave interacting fermions. The general relation between different correlated states such as the cluster states and superfluid remains to be understood. In this Letter, we focus on the properties of superfluid near a strong-coupling p -wave resonance, especially its stability, as a baby step towards this direction.

We start with a two-channel Hamiltonian describing a system of spinless fermions interacting in the p -wave

channel in two dimensions:

$$H = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \left(\frac{k^2}{2} - \mu \right) c_{\mathbf{k}} + \sum_{i, \mathbf{Q}} b_{i, \mathbf{Q}}^{\dagger} \left(\frac{Q^2}{4} - 2\mu + \delta \right) b_{i, \mathbf{Q}} + \frac{g}{\sqrt{\Omega}} \sum_{i, \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{k}} k_i \left(b_{i, \mathbf{Q}} c_{\mathbf{Q}/2 + \mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} c_{\mathbf{Q}/2 - \mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} + h.c. \right), \quad (1)$$

where $c_{\mathbf{k}}, c_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}$ ($b_{\mathbf{k}}, b_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}$) are fermionic (bosonic) annihilation and creation operators for fermions in the open channel (molecules in the closed channel), respectively, μ is the chemical potential, δ is the energy detuning of the closed channel, g is the interchannel coupling, and Ω is the volume. The summation of momentum is up to a ultraviolet (UV) cutoff, Λ , which parametrizes microscopic details of the system determined by atomic physics. In our model describing an interacting Fermi gas, Λ is the largest momentum scale, i.e., $\Lambda^2/|\mu| \gg 1$ and $\Lambda/k_F \gg 1$, where k_F is the Fermi wave vector. We set the reduced Planck constant and the atom mass to be unity throughout the paper. The bosonic operator is labeled by $i = x, y$, which is related to the annihilation (creation) of p -wave molecules with definite angular-momentum projection, $b_{\pm}^{(\dagger)}$, by $b_{\pm}^{\dagger} = \mp(b_x^{\dagger} \pm ib_y^{\dagger})/\sqrt{2}$.

Two-body scattering in the p -wave channel. Before carrying out a systematic analysis of the stability of a superfluid, we can first get some insight by considering a two-body scattering problem in the p -wave channel. The corresponding scattering T -matrix can be calculated by considering the Hamiltonian (Eq. (1)) in vacuum:

$$T(E, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') = \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{k}' \left[-\frac{\delta}{g^2} + \frac{\Lambda^2}{4\pi} + \frac{E}{g^2} + \frac{E}{4\pi} \ln \frac{\Lambda^2}{E} + i \frac{E}{4} \right]^{-1}, \quad (2)$$

where E is the scattering energy, $\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{k}')$ is the relative momentum of the initial (final) state. The quadratic dependence on Λ in the denominator of the T -matrix can be removed by introducing the scattering area, and resonance occurs when $-\delta/g^2 + \Lambda^2/(4\pi) = 0$. The logarithmic dependence, on the other hand, shows that the two-body scattering properties in the p -wave channel inevitably depend on short-distance details of the interparticle interaction [36], which is different from the s -wave case. As a result, an additional contact associated with the effective range was introduced to describe the p -wave Fermi gas [27]. Furthermore, this suggests a dimensionless parameter, $g^2 \ln \frac{\Lambda}{k_F}$. It dictates the physical properties of a 2D Fermi gas near a p -wave resonance. In this Letter, we will focus on the properties of a superfluid in the strong-coupling limit when

$$g^2 \ln \frac{\Lambda}{k_F} \gg 1. \quad (3)$$

Apparently, this condition can be satisfied in the large- g limit as well as in the low-density limit.

In order to analyze the problem of superfluidity, we take an approach of the effective potential. In this approach, the energy density of the system as a function of




FIG. 1. One-loop diagrams contributing to $E^{(1)}$. The solid line and the double dashed line represent the free-fermion propagator and the classical pairing field Δ/g , respectively. The open circle is the interchannel coupling vertex, which has the form $g\mathbf{k}$ in the p -wave channel (see the second line of Eq. (1)).

Δ , i.e., $E(\Delta)$, is first calculated, where Δ is related to the expectation value of the bosonic field in the closed channel via $\Delta_i = g\langle b_{i,0} \rangle/\sqrt{\Omega}$. Δ is then determined by minimizing $E(\Delta)$. All quantum fluctuations carried by bosonic fields $b_{i, \mathbf{Q} \neq 0}^{(\dagger)}$ are encoded in E , which can be calculated diagrammatically via quantum loop expansion [37, 38].

Mean-field results. First of all, there is a tree-level contribution which can be read out from the Hamiltonian,

$$E^{(0)} = g^{-2}(\delta - 2\mu)|\Delta|^2, \quad (4)$$

where $|\Delta|^2 = \Delta \cdot \Delta^*$. We then look at the diagrams with one fermionic loop, which do not contain bosonic propagators, as shown in Fig. 1. They can be summed up as:

$$E^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \left[|\xi_{\mathbf{k}}| - \sqrt{\xi_{\mathbf{k}}^2 + 4|\Delta \cdot \mathbf{k}|^2} \right], \quad (5)$$

where $\xi_{\mathbf{k}} = \mathbf{k}^2/2 - \mu$. By collecting both contributions, we arrive at the mean-field energy density, $E^{\text{MF}} = E^{(0)} + E^{(1)}$.

For a 2D p -wave resonant Fermi gas in the strong-coupling limit where $g^2 \ln \frac{\Lambda}{k_F} \gg 1$, the leading order of E^{MF} has a logarithmic dependence on Λ , which can be cast in the following form,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \ln \frac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{|\mu|}} \left[2(|\Delta|^2)^2 + |\Delta \cdot \Delta|^2 - 2\mu|\Delta|^2 \right]. \quad (6)$$

Eq. (6) is manifestly invariant under $U(1)$ gauge transformation and $SO(2)$ rotation in the xy -plane, which is consistent with the symmetry of the Hamiltonian (Eq. (1)). Up to a gauge transformation, the complex vector Δ can always be written as $\Delta = \mathbf{u} + i\mathbf{v}$, where $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v}$ are real vectors satisfying $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0$. The energy density can then be written as $E^{\text{MF}} = (2\pi)^{-1} \ln(\Lambda|\mu|^{-1/2})[3u^4 + 3v^4 + 2u^2v^2 - 2\mu(u^2 + v^2)]$. It is straightforward to show that the energy density has a local minimum in the $u - v$




FIG. 2. The fermion propagator in the presence of pairing and the dressed boson propagator. The former is represented by a thick solid line, and the (dressed) boson propagator is represented by a (thick) double solid line (see also the caption of Fig. 1).

space at $u^2 = v^2 = \mu/4$ (which is also the global minimum) when $\mu > 0$. Without loss of generality, we can choose the basis $\{\mathbf{e}_x, \mathbf{e}_y\}$ so that

$$\Delta^{\text{MF}} = \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{2}(\mathbf{e}_x \pm i\mathbf{e}_y). \quad (7)$$

The pairing gap is $2|\Delta \cdot \mathbf{k}| = \sqrt{\mu}|\mathbf{k}|$. Therefore, at mean-field level, we expect a fully gapped $p \pm ip$ superfluid for a 2D Fermi gas near a strong-coupling p -wave resonance. The mean-field equation of state can further be obtained by considering the number equation $n = -\partial E/\partial \mu$ at the minimum obtained above, which in the leading order yields $\mu^{\text{MF}} = \frac{k_F^2}{2 \ln \Lambda/k_F}$. The compressibility is thus $\kappa^{\text{MF}} = (n^2 \partial \mu / \partial n)^{-1} \approx \frac{\ln \Lambda/k_F}{2\pi n^2}$, which is positive, indicating a stable superfluid.

Beyond mean field — an ϵ -expansion analysis. We now turn to the contributions beyond mean field. The logarithmic dependence on Λ in Eqs. (2) and (6) implies that two is a marginal dimension for p -wave interactions. This further suggests a possible expansion in terms of $\ln^{-1} \Lambda$. To better illustrate this process, we first carry out an ϵ -expansion analysis [37, 38] in $2-\epsilon$ dimensions. In the ϵ -expansion language, the mean-field energy density has a similar form as Eq. (6) except that $\ln \frac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{|\mu|}}$ is replaced by ϵ^{-1} , i.e. $E^{\text{MF}} \sim \epsilon^{-1}$.

For diagrams beyond mean field, the bosonic propagator is an important building block. It is defined as $G_{ij}^B(Q) \equiv -i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt e^{iQ_0 t} \langle T b_{i,Q}(t) b_{j,Q}^{\dagger}(0) \rangle$, where $Q = (Q_0, \mathbf{Q})$ represents the frequency and momentum, b and $b^{\dagger}$ are time-dependent Heisenberg-picture operators, T enforces time ordering, and the expectation value is calculated with respect to the ground state. In the absence of interchannel coupling, the bare bosonic propagator is $G^{B(0)} = \delta_{ij}(Q_0 - \mathbf{Q}^2/4 + 2\mu + i0^+)^{-1}$. When the cou-




FIG. 3. The dominant two-loop diagram in terms of dressed boson propagators (see also the captions of Figs. 1 and 2).

pling is strong, it is heavily dressed due to the strong hybridization of the closed and open channels. The dominant dressing effect comes from the boson self-energy, Π , shown in Fig. 2, which is a 2×2 matrix. In the leading order of ϵ , $\Pi(Q)$ scales as $-\delta_{ij}\epsilon^{-1}g^2(Q_0 - \mathbf{Q}^2/4)^{1-\epsilon/2}$ when $Q_0, \mathbf{Q}^2 \gg \mu, |\Delta|^2$. It is singular when $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, indicating a significant dressing effect near two dimensions. As a result, $G^B(Q) \sim \delta_{ij}\epsilon g^{-2}(Q_0 - \mathbf{Q}^2/4)^{-1+\epsilon/2}$. Therefore, diagrams with more dressed bosonic propagators are generally suppressed by higher powers of ϵ . This enables a systematic analysis of all contributions beyond mean field. Note that one needs to remove certain diagrams to avoid over-counting, because the dressed bosonic propagators are used. Practically, the power of ϵ can be reduced by loop integrals which are divergent when $\epsilon = 0$, leading to subdominant or even dominant contributions.

Utilizing ϵ -expansion, one can identify that the only beyond-mean-field contribution that can be comparable to E^{MF} ($\sim \epsilon^{-1}$) is the two-loop diagram shown in Fig. 3; other diagrams only contribute at higher orders of ϵ . This two-loop diagram counts the interaction energy between quasi-particles, mediated by the dressed closed-channel bosons. To estimate its contribution to the energy density, we first note that when the momentum of one of the loops is much larger than the other, the integral over the larger momentum is logarithmically divergent even with finite ϵ , while the other loop contributes a factor of ϵ^{-1} . The overall contribution thus scales as $\ln \Lambda$, which is much larger than $E^{\text{MF}} \sim \epsilon^{-1}$. This shows that the two-loop contribution shown in Fig. 3 can play a crucial role in two dimensions, which we will discuss in more details below.

Beyond-mean-field contributions in two dimensions. Inspired by the ϵ -expansion analysis in $2-\epsilon$ dimensions, we start the calculation of beyond-mean-field contributions to the energy density in two dimensions by looking at the dressed boson propagator shown in Fig. 2. For convenience, we choose the axes so that $\Delta = u\mathbf{e}_x + iv\mathbf{e}_y$, where u and v are real numbers. In the strong-coupling limit when $g^2 \ln \frac{\Lambda}{k_F} \gg 1$, the leading order of the dressed

boson propagator can be calculated as,

$$G_{ij}^B(Q) = -4\pi g^{-2} \delta_{ij} \left[\left(\frac{Q^2}{4} - Q_0 - 2\mu + 4\eta_i - i0^+ \right) \times \ln \frac{\Lambda^2}{f(\Delta, \mu, Q)} \right]^{-1}, \quad (8)$$

where f is a regular function with the dimension of energy, $\eta_x = 3u^2 + v^2$, and $\eta_y = u^2 + 3v^2$. Compared with the bare boson propagator, the dressed propagator G^B (Eq. (8)) behaves similarly but with a slow logarithmic dependence in the denominator and a g^{-2} factor. The logarithm is intimately connected to the ϵ factor in G^B in the ϵ -expansion analysis. Furthermore, G^B mediates an effective p -wave interaction between fermions with dispersive coupling, $g^2 G^B$; the logarithm in the denominator is a characteristic behavior of the p -wave scattering T -matrix. This effective interaction plays an important role in all the diagrams beyond mean field. Through a similar power-counting analysis as in $2 - \epsilon$ dimensions, one can see that integrals of most beyond-mean-field diagrams have dominant contributions in the infrared, far from the momentum cutoff. Therefore, the logarithm in the denominator of $g^2 G^B$ contributes a factor of $1/\ln \frac{\Lambda^2}{|\mu|}$, which can be utilized to systematically analyze contributions beyond mean field. This resembles the ϵ -expansion analysis in the previous section.

Similarly, the dominant contribution beyond mean field is from the two-loop diagram shown in Fig. 3. Using Eq. (8), one can calculate this two-loop contribution to the leading order of $1/\ln \frac{\Lambda}{k_F}$, which yields $E^{(2)} = -\frac{8}{3\pi} (|\Delta|^2)^2 \ln \frac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{|\mu|}} \ln \ln \frac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{|\mu|}}$. It can be seen that $E^{(2)}$ overtakes E^{MF} (Eq. (6)) parametrically by a factor of $\ln \ln \frac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{|\mu|}}$. Furthermore, $E^{(2)}$ is negative because the integrals have a large contribution from the UV regime, where the effective interaction between fermions ($\sim g^2(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q})^2 G^B$) is attractive. We now obtain the leading order of the energy density in the strong-coupling limit:

$$E = E^{\text{MF}} + E^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln \frac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{|\mu|}} \left[-A(|\Delta|^2)^2 + |\Delta \cdot \Delta|^2 - 2\mu|\Delta|^2 \right], \quad (9)$$

where $A = \frac{16}{3} \ln \ln \frac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{|\mu|}} - 2$ has very weak dependence on μ . Since $\Lambda^2/|\mu| \gg 1$, A is positive and parametrically large, which leads to an overall negative quartic term in the energy density, in contrast to a positive one in the standard Ginzburg-Landau free energy. This implies that there is no stable superfluid. Indeed, one can check the energy landscape to find out that, in the $u-v$ plane, non-zero stationary points exist only when $\mu < 0$, which are all local maxima. These points are $(u^2, v^2) = (0, -\mu/(A-1))$, $(-\mu/(A-1), 0)$, or $(-\mu/(2A), -\mu/(2A))$. One can

further calculate the compressibility at the above points to obtain,

$$\kappa^{-1} = -\frac{16\pi}{3} n^2 \frac{\ln \ln \Lambda/k_F}{\ln \Lambda/k_F} + O\left(\frac{1}{\ln^2 \Lambda/k_F}\right), \quad (10)$$

which is negative, showing a thermodynamical instability. Therefore, in the strong-coupling limit, 2D spinless fermions at a p -wave resonance cannot host stable homogeneous p -wave superfluid.

For comparison, we apply the same approach to the weak-coupling limit where $g^2 \ln \frac{\Lambda}{k_F} \ll 1$. In this limit, the fluctuations are perturbative in terms of g^2 . Therefore, the mean field gives the leading order contribution to the energy density, which can be calculated as,

$$E = \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln \frac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{|\mu|}} \left[2(|\Delta|^2)^2 + |\Delta \cdot \Delta|^2 \right] - \frac{2\mu|\Delta|^2}{g^2} \quad (11)$$

Different from the strong-coupling limit (Eq. (9)), it has a local minimum when $\mu > 0$. This corresponds to a stable $p + ip$ superfluid in the weak-coupling limit, which is consistent with previous studies [20]. Therefore, when the interchannel coupling is increased, one should expect an onset of instability in the p -wave superfluid near $g^2 \sim \ln^{-1} \frac{\Lambda}{k_F}$.

Discussions. We carry out a systematic analysis of a 2D spinless Fermi gas near a p -wave Feshbach resonance in the strong-coupling limit, where $g^2 \ln \frac{\Lambda}{k_F} \gg 1$ and the hybridization between the open and closed channel is strong. We show that quantum fluctuations destabilize the p -wave superfluid. This is in contrast to the weak-coupling limit studied before, where the fluctuation effect is perturbative and a $p + ip$ superfluid is stable. Consequently, one can expect an onset of instability near $g^2 \sim \ln^{-1} \frac{\Lambda}{k_F}$ when going from weak- to strong-resonance limit. Due to the competition between g^2 and $\ln^{-1} \frac{\Lambda}{k_F}$, the instability can also be driven by lowering the particle density with a fixed interchannel coupling. In a quasi-2D system where the motion of the particles in z direction is tightly confined while the motion in x, y directions is extended, the onset of instability occurs when $g^2 \sim \ln^{-1} \frac{1}{k_F a_0}$ if $\Lambda/k_F \gg 1$ and $\Lambda a_0 \sim 1$ (a_0 is the confinement radius in z direction) [39]. When instability sets in, it is necessary to consider possible alternative states for this system, e.g., the super-Efimov states [35]. This remains an open question and demands further investigations.

We thank Jeff Maki for helping improve the manuscript. This work is in part supported by the Canadian Institute for Advanced Research and the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (a Discovery Grant).

[1] A. J. Leggett, Rev. Mod. Phys. **47**, 331 (1975).

- [2] Y. Maeno, H. Hashimoto, K. Yoshida, S. Nishizaki, T. Fujita, J. G. Bednorz, and F. Lichtenberg, *Nature* **372**, 532 (1994).
- [3] N. Read, and D. Green, *Phys. Rev. B* **61**, 10267 (2000).
- [4] A. Y. Kitaev, arXiv:quant-ph/9707021 (1997), *Ann. Phys.* **303**, 2 (2003).
- [5] C. Chin, R. Grimm, P. Julienne, and E. Tiesinga, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **82**, 1225 (2010).
- [6] C. A. Regal, C. Ticknor, J. L. Bohn, and D. S. Jin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 053201 (2003).
- [7] J. Zhang, E. G. M. van Kempen, T. Bourdel, L. Khaykovich, J. Cubizolles, F. Chevy, M. Teichmann, L. Tarruell, S. J. J. M. F. Kokkelmans, and C. Salomon, *Phys. Rev. A* **70**, 030702 (2004).
- [8] C. H. Schunck, M. W. Zwierlein, C. A. Stan, S. M. F. Raupach, W. Ketterle, A. Simoni, E. Tiesinga, C. J. Williams, and P. S. Julienne, *Phys. Rev. A* **71**, 045601 (2005).
- [9] K. Günter, T. Stöferle, H. Moritz, M. Köhl, and T. Esslinger, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 230401 (2005).
- [10] Y. Nishida, and S. Tan, *Phys. Rev. A* **82**, 062713 (2010).
- [11] S.-G. Peng, S. Tan, and K. Jiang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 250401 (2014).
- [12] T. Yamaguchi, D. Inotani, and Y. Ohashi, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **86**, 013001 (2017).
- [13] A. K. Fedorov, V. I. Yudson, and G. V. Shlyapnikov, *Phys. Rev. A* **95**, 043615 (2017).
- [14] F. R. Klinkhamer, and G. E. Volovik, *JETP Lett.* **80**, 343 (2004).
- [15] S. S. Botelho, and C. S. De Melo, *J. Low Temp. Phys.* **140**, 409 (2005).
- [16] T.-L. Ho, and R. B. Diener, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 090402 (2005).
- [17] V. Gurarie, L. Radzihovsky, and A. V. Andreev, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 230403 (2005).
- [18] C.-H. Cheng, and S.-K. Yip, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 070404 (2005).
- [19] M. Iskin, and C. A. R. S. de Melo, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 040402 (2006).
- [20] V. Gurarie, and L. Radzihovsky, *Ann. Phys.* **322**, 2 (2007).
- [21] Y. Ohashi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 050403 (2005).
- [22] J. Levinsen, N. R. Cooper, and V. Gurarie, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 210402 (2007).
- [23] M. Y. Kagan, and S. L. Ogarkov, *Laser Phys.* **18**, 509 (2008).
- [24] T. Mizushima, M. Ichioka, and K. Machida, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 150409 (2008).
- [25] D. Inotani, R. Watanabe, M. Sigrist, and Y. Ohashi, *Phys. Rev. A* **85**, 053628 (2012).
- [26] S. M. Yoshida, and M. Ueda, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 135303 (2015).
- [27] Z. Yu, J. H. Thywissen, and S. Zhang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 135304 (2015).
- [28] C. Luciuk, S. Trotzky, S. Smale, Z. Yu, S. Zhang, and J. H. Thywissen, *Nat. Phys.* **12**, 599 (2016).
- [29] J. Yao, and S. Zhang, arXiv:1609.06476 (2016).
- [30] Y.-C. Zhang, and S. Zhang, *Phys. Rev. A* **95**, 023603 (2017).
- [31] C. Ticknor, C. A. Regal, D. S. Jin, and J. L. Bohn, *Phys. Rev. A* **69**, 42712 (2004).
- [32] K. B. Gubbels, and H. T. C. Stoof, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 190406 (2007).
- [33] J. Fuchs, C. Ticknor, P. Dyke, G. Veeravalli, E. Kuhnle, W. Rowlands, P. Hannaford, and C. J. Vale, *Phys. Rev. A* **77**, 053616 (2008).
- [34] S. Dong, Y. Cui, C. Shen, Y. Wu, M. K. Tey, L. You, and B. Gao, *Phys. Rev. A* **94**, 062702 (2016).
- [35] Y. Nishida, S. Moroz, and D. T. Son, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 235301 (2013).
- [36] E. Braaten, P. Hagen, H.-W. Hammer, and L. Platter, *Phys. Rev. A* **86**, 012711 (2012).
- [37] Y. Nishida and D. T. Son, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**, 050403 (2006).
- [38] S.-J. Jiang, W.-M. Liu, G. W. Semenoff, and F. Zhou, *Phys. Rev. A* **89**, 033614 (2014).
- [39] In the presence of a tight harmonic confinement in z direction with confinement radius a_0 , the effective 2D low-energy scattering T -matrix in the x, y -plane at resonance scales as $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{k}' [c_1 E \Lambda a_0 - c_2 E \ln(E a_0^2) + iE]^{-1}$ [40], where $\mathbf{k}^{(\prime)}$ is the 2D relative momentum of the initial (final) state, E is the energy, Λ is the momentum cutoff, and $c_{1,2}$ are positive numbers.
- [40] L. Pricoupenko, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 170404 (2008).